

EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 1 TO LETTER FROM JAMES H. ROLLINSON OF 1/6/16 RE: PICARD V. SHAPIRO ET AL.

<i>Defendant & Discovery Request to Which Responding</i>	<i>No Apparent Dispute Between the Parties</i>	<i>Defendant Categorically Object to Request</i>	<i>Defendant Objects On Ground Not Party to Claim</i>	<i>Request Likely Not Relevant (in whole or part) with Stipulation</i>	<i>Defendant's Response Otherwise Deficient</i>
Stanley RFA1stSet Nos. 1-22	X				
Stanley RFA1stSet No. 23		X	X		
Stanley RFA1stSet No. 24	X				
Stanley RFA1stSet Nos. 25-43		X	X		
Stanley RFA1stSet Nos. 44-48	X				
Stanley RFA1stSet Nos. 49-53		X	X	X	
Stanley RFA1stSet Nos. 54-55	X				
Stanley RFA1stSet Nos. 56-57		X	X	X	
Stanley RFA1stSet Nos. 58-66	X				
Stanley RFA1stSet No. 67		X	X	X	
Stanley RFA1stSet Nos. 68-69	X				
StanleyRFA2nd-No.1-18	X				
StanleyRFA2nd-No.19-34		X	X		
StanleyRFA2nd-No.35-36	X				
StanleyRFA2nd-No.37-38		X	X		
StanleyRFA2nd-No.39-40		X	X	X	
StanleyRFA2nd-No.41	X				
StanleyRFA2nd-No.42-45		X	X	X	
StanleyRFA2nd-No.46-58	X				
StanleyRFA2nd-No.59		X	X	X	
StanleyRFA2nd-No.60	X				
StanleyRFA2nd-No.61		X	X	X	
StanleyRFA2nd-No.62-63	X				
DavidRFA-No.1-17	X				
DavidRFA-No.18		X	X		
DavidRFA-No.19	X				
DavidRFA-No.20		X	X		
DavidRFA-No.21				X	
DavidRFA-No.22-35		X	X		
DavidRFA-No.36-37	X				
DavidRFA-No.38-39		X	X		
DavidRFA-No.40-41		X	X	X	
DavidRFA-No.42-43	X				
DavidRFA-No.44-47		X	X	X	
DavidRFA-No.48-58	X				
DavidRFA-No.59		X	X	X	
DavidRFA-No.60	X				
DavidRFA-No.61		X	X	X	
DavidRFA-No.62-63	X				
LeslieRFA-No.1-20	X				
LeslieRFA-No.21-42		X	X		
LeslieRFA-No.43-49	X				
LeslieRFA-No.50-51		X	X	X	
LeslieRFA-No.52					X
LeslieRFA-No.53		X	X	X	
LeslieRFA-No.54-55	X				
LeslieRFA-No.56-57		X	X	X	
LeslieRFA-No.58-65	X				
LeslieRFA-No.66-67		X	X	X	
LeslieRFA-No.68-69	X				
StanleyRFPD-No.1-4					X
StanleyRFPD-No.5-9		X			
StanleyRFPD-No.10	X				
StanleyRFPD-No.11		X			
StanleyRFPD-No.12-15					X
StanleyRFPD-No.16		X			

<i>Defendant & Discovery Request to Which Responding</i>	<i>No Apparent Dispute Between the Parties</i>	<i>Defendant Categorically Object to Request</i>	<i>Defendant Objects On Ground Not Party to Claim</i>	<i>Request Likely Not Relevant (in whole or part) with Stipulation</i>	<i>Defendant's Response Otherwise Deficient</i>
StanleyRFPD-No.17					X
StanleyRFPD-No.18		X			
StanleyRFPD-No.19-22		X	X		
StanleyRFPD-No.23	X				
StanleyRFPD-No.24-35		X			
StanleyRFPD-No.36					X
StanleyRFPD-No.37		X	X	X	
StanleyRFPD-No.38-39		X		X	
StanleyRFPD-No.40-42	X				
StanleyRFPD-No.43-44		X			
StanleyRFPD-No.45		X		X	
StanleyRFPD-No.46	X				
StanleyRFPD-No.47		X			
StanleyRFPD-No.48-49	X				
DavidRFPD-No.1-4					X
DavidRFPD-No.5		X			
DavidRFPD-No.6		X	X		
DavidRFPD-No.7-9		X			
DavidRFPD-No.10		X	X		
DavidRFPD-No.11		X			
DavidRFPD-No.12-14					X
DavidRFPD-No.15-18		X	X		
DavidRFPD-No.19		X	X	X	
DavidRFPD-No.20-21	X				
DavidRFPD-No.22-33		X			
DavidRFPD-No.34-35		X		X	
DavidRFPD-No.36		X	X	X	
DavidRFPD-No.37-39	X				
DavidRFPD-No.40		X			
DavidRFPD-No.41	X				
DavidRFPD-No.42		X	X	X	
DavidRFPD-No.43-45	X				
CintronsRFPD-No.1		X			
CintronsRFPD-No.2-4					X
CintronsRFPD-No.5-11		X			
CintronsRFPD-No.12-14					X
CintronsRFPD-No.15-16		X	X		
CintronsRFPD-No.17		X			
CintronsRFPD-No.18-19		X	X		
CintronsRFPD-No.20-21	X				
CintronsRFPD-No.22-30		X			
CintronsRFPD-No.31-32		X	X		
CintronsRFPD-No.33-36		X			
CintronsRFPD-No.36		X	X	X	
CintronsRFPD-No.37-39	X				
CintronsRFPD-No.40		X	X		
CintronsRFPD-No.41	X				
CintronsRFPD-No.42		X	X	X	
CintronsRFPD-No.43-45	X				